Exhibit 10

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
              FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 2
 3
    AMANDA ALEXANDER, et al.,
 4
                  Plaintiffs,
 5
                                  | CASE NO. 5:11-cv-01343-M
 6
    v.
 7
    HALLIBURTON COMPANY,
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
 8
    INC.,
 9
    and SAIC ENERGY, ENVIRONMENT
10
    & INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC,
11
                  Defendants.
12
13
    MITCHELL L. McCORMICK,
14
15
    et al.,
                  Plaintiffs,
16
                                  CASE NO. 11-cv-01272-M
17
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
18
19
    INC.,
                  Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    KRAIG BICKERSTAFF, et al.,
 2
                 Plaintiffs,
 3
                                 | CASE NO. 11-cv-1305-M
 4
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
 5
 6
    INC.,
                Defendants.
 7
 8
    FRANK D. ELDRIDGE, et al.,
 9
                 Plaintiffs,
10
                                | CASE NO. 11-cv-1306-M
11
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
12
13
    INC.,
                Defendants.
14
15
    ROBIN L. BOOTH, et al.,
16
                 Plaintiffs,
17
                                CASE NO. 11-cv-1308-M
18
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
19
20
    INC.,
                 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    HARMEN ARLEN MAY, et al.,
 2
                 Plaintiffs,
 3
                                 | CASE NO. 11-cv-1309-M
 4
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
 5
 6
    INC.,
                Defendants.
 7
 8
    STANLEY R. REYES,
 9
                 Plaintiff,
10
                                 | CASE NO. 11-cv-1310-M
11
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
12
13
    INC.,
                 Defendants.
14
15
    LESLIE T. CAMPBELL, et al.,
16
                 Plaintiffs,
17
                                | CASE NO. 11-cv-1311-M
18
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
19
20
    INC.,
                 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    EVELYN BERNICE SOUTHERLAND,
2
                 Plaintiff,
3
                                CASE NO. 11-cv-1312-M
4
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
5
6
    INC.,
7
                Defendants.
8
    TERRY CHEEK, et al.,
9
                 Plaintiffs,
10
                                | CASE NO. 13-cv-0116-M
11
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
12
    INC.,
13
                 Defendants.
14
15
    STEPHEN G. JONES, et al.,
16
                 Plaintiff,
17
                                | CASE NO. 11-cv-1322-M
18
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
19
20
    INC.,
                 Defendants.
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    BRUCE WILMES, et al.,
 2
                  Plaintiffs,
 3
 4
    v.
                                  CASE NO. 11-cv-1323-M
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
 5
 6
    INC.,
7
                  Defendants.
 8
    GLYNN ALLEN, et al.,
9
                  Plaintiffs,
10
                                  | CASE NO. 11-cv-0715-M
11
    v.
    HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
12
    INC.,
13
                  Defendants.
14
15
16
       Videotaped Deposition of KEVIN JOHN BOYLE, PH.D.
17
                        Washington, D.C.
18
                    Thursday, October 2, 2014
19
                            9:00 a.m.
20
21
    Job No.: 01-68037
22
    Pages 1 through 277
23
    Reported by: Cassandra E. Ellis, RPR
24
25
```

```
Deposition of KEVIN JOHN BOYLE, PH.D., held at
1
2
    the offices of:
3
 4
        KING & SPALDING
        Suite 200
5
        1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
 6
7
        Washington, D.C.
                            20006
         (202) 737-0500
 8
 9
10
11
        Pursuant to agreement, before Cassandra E. Ellis,
12
    Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public of
13
    The District of Columbia.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS ALEXANDER & WILMES:
3	TODD D. OMMEN, ESQUIRE
4	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
5	700 Broadway
6	New York, New York 10003
7	(212) 558-5592
8	tommen@weitzlux.com
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF MCCORMICK:
11	DAVID P. PAGE, ESQUIRE
12	LEACH & SULLIVAN
13	P.O. Box 160
14	921 Main Street
15	Duncan, Oklahoma 73534
16	(580) 255-1111
17	David@leachsullivan.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT HALLIBURTON:
3	R. BRUCE HURLEY, PRO HAC VICE
4	KING & SPALDING, LLP
5	Suite 4000
6	1100 Louisiana
7	Houston, Texas 77002
8	(713) 751-3200
9	
10	ROBERT L. BETTS, ESQUIRE
11	PIERCE COUCH HENDRICKSON
12	BAYSINGER & GREEN, LLP
13	1109 North Francis
14	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73106
15	(405) 235-1611
16	Rbetts@piercecouch.com
17	
18	
19	ALSO PRESENT: Joseph E. Ellis, CLVS
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

10:29:57	to them, and also looking at the or understanding
10:30:02	that from, you know, the hydro geological work of the
10:30:08	persistence of the contaminant in the groundwater.
10:30:11	Q Can you give us a range, years, months,
10:30:19	however you want to do it, for the duration of these
10:30:21	long-term damages you've opined on?
10:30:23	A Years.
10:30:24	Q How many?
10:30:24	A I don't have a specific year that I can
10:30:31 1	give you. But I can tell you that from the
10:30:35 1	information from Mr. Leyton that we know that the
10:30:39 1	contamination is going to persist for ten or more
10:30:42 1	years with what's been provided to me.
10:30:44 1	Q You realize there are people that disagree
10:30:46 1	with Mr. Leyton, don't you?
10:30:48 1	MR. OMMEN: Objection to form and
10:30:49 1	foundation.
10:30:50 1	A I have not seen any disagreement with
10:30:56 1	Q Has the market in Duncan, Oklahoma, had a
10:30:59 2	chance to adjust to the announcement of a potential
10:31:04 2	contamination?
10:31:05 2	A I don't think that it has had a chance to
10:31:13 2	adjust, at this point in time.
10:31:15 2	Q What
10:31:16 2	A That's why I think that the approach I used

10:31:18 1 is the best approach to use.

10:31:21 2 MR. HURLEY: Objection, non-responsive.

10:31:21 3 BY MR. HURLEY:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10:31:22

10:31:24

10:31:28

10:31:29

10:31:38

10:31:48

10:31:57 10

10:32:03 11

10:32:07 12

10:32:10 13

10:32:16 14

10:32:21 15

10:32:23 16

10:32:28 17

10:32:31 18

10:32:33 19

10:32:35 20

10:32:37 21

10:32:39 22

10:32:41 23

Q What would you need, what kind of evidence would you need, to say, man, the market has adjusted now?

A You would want time to have passed; you would want resolution of what the long-term status of the contaminant at the site was; you would also not want, you know, the purchases by Halliburton to be influencing the -- the -- the market. And so, you know, you'd want to -- you'd have to go through a number of checks to, you know, see what was going on in -- in the market.

Q Well, I need to know kind of the exhaustive list for things that had to have occurred for you to say that the market has adjusted. Do we have them, time passed, resolution of the status of contamination, you wouldn't want purchases by Halliburton to be influencing the market?

A Those would be key ones, you know, I haven't come in here prepared to opine on that, specifically, and I would need to think to make sure that I'm not missing anything, but those would be key ones that you would be looking at.

10:32:44 24